

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,557	06/29/2001	Andrew V. Anderson	42390.P9765X	6490	
8791 BLAKELY SO	7590 09/12/200 DKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAN	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			CHANKONG, DOHM		
SUNNYVALI	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/895,557		ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DOHM CHANKONG	2152	

	DOHM CHANKONG	2152						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this action, applicant must timely file one of the following repiles: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the stion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	11							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected for.		be entered and an ex	oplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ad.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:					
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152	/Dohm Chankong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2152							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the cited references do not teach using a variety of rules. To determine whether to contact sosciated with the event and who contact associated with the event and who contact associated with the event and who contact associated with the event." Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Alexander does disclose the limitation as written in the claim. Applicant asserts that Alexander merely discloses? a global rule for forwarding all incoming events? to an alternative person but does not disclose actually "determining a specific person associated with an incoming event and determining whether that specific person should be contacted." Contrary to Applicant's assertion, Alexander is not limited to teaching a global rule for forwarding all incoming events to a contact person. Alexander discloses that a user can set up a specific rule that associates an alternative contact person with a particular specific event type [column 4 «lines 34-45»] where the user sets a specific attribute value for that event type to override the default thinture value that its applied to all incoming invents. Hence, the alternative contact person has been associated with a specific event type and not just all incoming events as a rqued by Applicant. This teaching reads on Applicant's limitation as written in the claim.